



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

*MN*  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/668,142	09/24/2003	Amit Singhal	0026-0047	2802
44989	7590	07/09/2007	EXAMINER	
HARRITY SNYDER, LLP 11350 Random Hills Road SUITE 600 FAIRFAX, VA 22030			PYO, MONICA M	
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2161				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/09/2007		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/668,142	SINGHAL ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Monica M. Pyo	2161

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Monica M. Pyo.

(3) Meagan Walling.

(2) Leslie Wong.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 25 June 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0220912 by Fain et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Agreement was made to amend and narrow the claim limitation (i.e., determining whether the entered term or phrase is a commercial query or a non-commercial query) to independent claim(s) to further overcome the prior art of record.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



Monica M. Pyo  
SLE1 TC2100

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required



**HARRITY  
SNYDER LLP**

PATENTS TRADEMARKS COPYRIGHTS

11350 Random Hills Road  
Suite 600  
Fairfax, Virginia 22030

Tel 571432.0800  
Fax 571432.0808

### FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL

**TO:**

**Name:** Monica Pyo

**Firm:** USPTO

**Fax No.:** 571-273-8192

**Phone No.:** 571-272-8192

**Subject:** 10/668,142

**FROM:**

**Name:** Meagan Walling

**Phone No.:** (571) 432-0841

**Fax # Verified by:**

**# Pages (incl. this):** 2

**Date:** June 22, 2007

**Message:**

Topics to discuss at interview (6/25 at 3PM) and proposed amendment

If there is a problem with this transmission, notify the sender at the number above.

This facsimile is intended only for the individual to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone (collect), and return the original message by first-class mail to the above address.

10/668,142

Independent claim 1 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over FAIN et al. in view of PETROPOULOS. The Examiner relies on paragraphs 0024, 0048, 0050, and 0080 of FAIN et al. as disclosing “processing a query in a first manner when the query is determined to be a non-commercial query and processing the query in a second, different manner when the query is determined to be a commercial query.” However, FAIN et al. discloses categorizing documents and Resulting Pages (see paragraph 0028), not queries. FAIN et al. specifically discloses (a) entering search terms or phrases into a system; (b) generating documents containing keywords that match the search terms or phrases; (c) categorizing search results into categories according to categorization criteria to create categorized documents; and (d) presenting the categorized documents (see paragraph 0033).

In contrast, claim 1 recites processing a query in one manner when it is a non-commercial query and processing the query in a second manner when it is a commercial query. If the word “query” is not enough to differentiate between the claims and the cited art, we propose defining the word query in the claim so that it is clear that a query is not a document or a search result.

Proposed Amendment:

1. A method for processing a query, comprising:  
receiving a query, wherein the query is a term or phrase that is searched;  
determining whether the query is a commercial query or a non-commercial query;  
processing the query in a first manner when the query is determined to be a non-commercial query; and  
processing the query in a second, different manner when the query is determined to be a commercial query.